

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,517	07/31/2003	Paulo Matos	U1656-00007	4344
53897 DHANE MOR	7590 06/11/2010 RRIS LLP - San Diego		EXAMINER	
101 WEST BROADWAY			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
SUITE 900 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-8285			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/632.517 MATOS ET AL Notice of Abandonment Examiner Art Unit

	ANGELA A. ARMSTRONG	2626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
This application is abandoned in view of:						
⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the C     (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of time	of Mailing or Transmission dated	, ), which is after the	expiration of the			
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it de	oes not constitute a proper reply under 3	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to	the final rejection			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reje application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with	filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
) A reply was received onbut it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🛮 No reply has been received.						
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTC</li> </ol>	DL-85).	•				
<ul> <li>(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,        ), which is after the expiration of the statuto         Allowance (PTOL-85).</li> </ul>						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A ball	ance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	<ul> <li>The publication fee, if required by 37</li> </ul>	CFR 1.18(d), is \$_				
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha	s not been received.					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	required by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the No	otice of			
<ul> <li>(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on _ after the expiration of the period for reply.</li> </ul>	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	smission dated	), which is			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed b the applicants.</li> </ol>	y the attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of			
<ol> <li>The letter of express abandonment which is signed b 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.</li> </ol>	y an attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR			
<ol> <li>The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte of the decision has expired and there are no allowed</li> </ol>		e the period for see	eking court review			
7. ☑ The reason(s) below:						
Via telephonic communications on June 9, 2010 has not been filed.	, Applicant's representative, Stepher	ı Gribok, confirme	ed a response			
	/Angela A Armstrong/ Primary Examiner, Art Uni	t 2626				

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office